# **RINGS RADICAL OVER SUBRINGS**

#### **RV**

B. FELZENSZWALB<sup>†,††</sup>

#### ABSTRACT

Let  $R$  be a ring with a subring  $A$  such that a power of every element of  $R$  lies in A. The following results are proved: If  $R$  has no nonzero nil right ideals, neither does  $A$ ; if moreover  $R$  is prime,  $A$  is also prime. If  $R$  is semiprime Goldie, so is A. If A has no nonzero nilpotent elements, then the nilpotent elements of  $R$  form an ideal. Finally if  $R$  has no nil right ideals and  $A$  is Goldie, then  $R$  is Goldie.

In what follows R will denote an associative ring, not necessarily with 1, and  $\boldsymbol{A}$ will always denote a subring of R. Following Faith [1], we say R is *A-radical* if for each  $r \in R$  there exists  $n = n(r) \ge 1$  such that  $r^n \in A$ . In this paper we study the relationship between the properties of  $R$  and  $A$  when  $R$  is  $A$ -radical. The first section deals with some results of independent interest. In Section 2 we place conditions on  $R$  and show that the same conditions are forced on  $A$ . Finally, in Section 3 we show that by placing conditions on A these are forced on R provided R is without nonzero nil ideals (except Theorem 7 where we require R to be without nonzero nil right ideals). For a good cross-section of the results obtained in this kind of study one can look in [1], [4], [6] and [7].

The following fact will be used without further mention: if  $R$  is  $A$ -radical and  $r_1, \dots, r_m \in R$ , then there exists  $k = k(r_1, \dots, r_m) \ge 1$  such that  $r_i^k \in A$ ,  $i =$  $1, \dots, m$ .

Received September 28, 1975

<sup>\*</sup> This work was supported by the National Research Council of Brazil (CNPq) at the University of Chicago.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>\*\*</sup> The author wishes to express his gratitude to Professor I. N. Herstein for his advise and encouragement.

## **1. Preliminary results**

We begin with

LEMMA 1. Let R be a ring with no nonzero nil right ideals. Suppose  $a \in R$  and  $ax^{n(x)}a = 0$ ,  $n(x) \ge 1$ , all  $x \in R$ . Then  $a = 0$ .

PROOF. Clearly a is nilpotent. If  $a^2 \neq 0$  and k is minimal such that  $a^k = 0$ , then  $a^{k-1}$  satisfies the same hypothesis as a and  $(a^{k-1})^2 = 0$ . Hence we may assume  $a^2 = 0$ . Let  $r \in R$  with  $r^2 = 0$ ; we claim that  $ara = 0$ . In fact, if  $x \in R$ there exists  $n \ge 1$  such that  $a ((axar) + r)^n a = 0$ . Since  $r^2 = a^2 = 0$ , if  $n = 1$  we get *ara* = 0; if  $n > 1$ , then *ar*  $(axar)^{n-1}a = 0$ . In any case we have  $(axar)^n = 0$ . Thus *afaR* is a nil right ideal and consequently, from the hypothesis on *R*,  $ara = 0$ .

Let  $r, s \in R$  with  $rs = 0$ . Then  $(sxr)^2 = 0$  for every  $x \in R$  and so, by the above,  $a (s x r) a = 0$  for every  $x \in R$ . Thus, since R is semiprime,  $ras = 0$ .

Let  $x \in R$  and let  $n \ge 1$  such that  $ax^n a = 0$ ; we show that  $(ax)^{n+1} = 0$ . If  $n=1$  this is clear. If  $n>1$ ,  $(ax)$   $(x^{n-1}a)=ax^na=0$  and by what we deduced before this implies  $(ax) a (x^{n-1} a) = 0$ ; continuing in this way we obtain  $(ax)^n a = 0$  and so  $(ax)^{n+1} = 0$ . In other words, aR is a nil right ideal. Therefore, by our hypothesis on R, we must have  $a = 0$ .

THEOREM 1. *Let R be a prime ring with no nonzero nil right ideals. Suppose*   $a, b \in R$  and  $ax^{n(x)}b=0$ ,  $n(x) \ge 1$ , all  $x \in R$ . Then  $a = 0$  or  $b = 0$ .

PROOF. Assume  $b \neq 0$  and fix for each  $x \in R$  an integer  $n(x) \geq 1$  such that  $ax^{r(x)}b = 0$ . Then  $\rho = \{y \in R \mid ax^{r(x)}y = 0$ , all  $x \in R\}$  is a nonzero right ideal of R and by Lemma 1,  $\rho a = 0$ . Thus, since R is prime and  $\rho \neq 0$ ,  $a = 0$ .

Since prime (nontrivial) nil rings exist, Theorem 1 does not remain valid if one just assumes  $R$  to be a prime ring; we believe however that the result remains valid if one replaces the assumption "with no nonzero nil right ideals" by its two-sided version "with no nonzero nil ideals".

REMARK 1. Let R be a prime ring with nontrivial center. Suppose  $a, b \in R$ and  $ax^{n(x)}b = 0$ ,  $n(x) \ge 1$ , all  $x \in R$ . If char  $R = 0$  or char  $R = p \ne 0$  where  $p \nmid n(x)$ , all  $x \in R$ , then  $a=0$  or  $b=0$ .

PROOF. Since a nonzero element in the center of a prime ring is not a zero divisor we clearly have  $ab = 0$ . Let  $r \in R$  with  $r^2 = 0$ . If  $c \neq 0$  is a central element of R there exists  $n \ge 1$  such that  $a (c + r)^n b = 0$  where if char  $R =$  $p \neq 0$ ,  $p \nmid n$ . Since  $r^2 = 0$  and c is not a zero divisor it follows that  $arb = 0$ .

Let r,  $s \in R$  with  $rs = 0$ . Then, since  $(sxr)^2 = 0$  for every  $x \in R$ , we get  $a$ *sRrb* = 0. But *R* is prime so  $as = 0$  or  $rb = 0$ .

Now if  $x \in R$ ,  $ax^n b = 0$  for a suitable n. Pick  $k \ge 1$  minimal such that  $ax^k b = 0$ . If  $k > 1$ , by what we have deduced above,  $0 = ax^k b = (ax^{k-1})(xb)$ implies  $axb = 0$  or  $ax^{k-1}b = 0$ . This contradicts the minimal nature of k. Thus  $aRb = 0$ , and, since R is prime,  $a = 0$  or  $b = 0$ .

For later reference we state the well known

THEOREM (Levitzki [3, lemma 1.1]). Let R be a ring and  $0 \neq \rho$  a nil right ideal *of R. Suppose that given*  $a \in \rho$ *,*  $a^n = 0$  *for a fixed integer n; then R has a nonzero nilpotent ideal.* 

Note that using Levitzki's result we can drop the assumption "with no nonzero nil right ideals" in Theorem 1 if the integers  $n(x)$  have a finite maximum as x ranges over R.

## **2. Going down**

A natural question is: "If R has no nonzero nil ideals and R is A-radical, is A without nonzero nil ideals?" The next result gives us an affirmative answer to this question modulo the Koethe conjecture.

THEOREM 2. *If R has no nonzero nil right ideals and R is A-radical, then A has no nonzero nil right ideals.* 

PROOF. Suppose  $\rho \neq 0$  is a nil right ideal of A. Let  $r \in R$  with  $r^2 = 0$ . If  $a \in \rho$ there exists  $n \ge 2$  such that *(ar)*" and  $(ar + r)$ " are in A. Thus  $r(ar)^{n-1} \in A$  and so ar  $(ar)^{n-1} = (ar)^n \in \rho$ ; hence ar is nilpotent. Since  $(rxr)^2 = 0$  for every  $x \in R$ , we have *rxra* nilpotent for every  $x \in R$ . Hence *rarR* is nil and by hypothesis this implies  $rar = 0$ . In short, if  $r \in R$  and  $r^2 = 0$  then  $ror = 0$ .

By Lemma 1, A is semiprime and so by Levitzki's theorem there exists  $a \in \rho$ with  $a^k = 0$ ,  $a^{k-1} \neq 0$ , and  $k \ge 4$ . Now, since  $k \ge 4$ ,  $(a^{k-2})^2 = 0$  and consequently  $a^{k-2} \rho \ a^{k-2} = 0$ . Thus  $a^{k-2} \rho$  is a nilpotent right ideal of A. Since A is semiprime we must have  $a^{k-2} \rho = 0$  and so  $a^{k-1} = 0$ , a contradiction.

When  $R$  has no nonzero nil ideals and  $R$  is  $A$ -radical, every nonzero ideal of R intersects A nontrivially. So, in this situation it is clear that if  $A$  is prime,  $R$  is also prime.

Combining Theorems 1 and 2 we have

THEOREM 3. *If R is prime with no nonzero nil right ideals and R is A-radical, then A is prime with no nonzero nil right ideals.* 

We continue with

LEMMA 2. *Suppose R has no nonzero nil right ideals and R is A-radical. If*   $a_1, a_2 \in A$  and  $a_1A \cap a_2A = 0$ , then  $a_1R \cap a_2R = 0$ .

PROOF. Let  $a_1, a_2 \in A$  with  $a_1A \cap a_2A = 0$ . Consider the right ideal of  $A, \rho = a_1R \cap a_2A$ . Let  $x \in \rho$ , say  $x = a_1r_1 = a_2r_2$ ,  $r_1 \in R$  and  $r_2 \in A$ , and let  $n \geq 1$  such that  $(r_1 a_1)^n \in A$ . Since  $a_1, r_2 \in A$  we have

$$
a_1(r_1a_1)^n=(a_1r_1)^n a_1=(a_2r_2)^n a_1\in a_1A\cap a_2A=0;
$$

so  $x^{n+1} = (a_1 r_1)^{n+1} = 0$ . Thus  $\rho$  is nil and consequently by Theorem 2, since R has no nonzero nil right ideals,  $\rho = a_1 R \cap a_2 A = 0$ .

Let  $x \in a_1R \cap a_2R$ , say  $x = a_1r_1 = a_2r_2$ , and let  $n \ge 1$  such that  $(r_2 a_2)^n \in A$ . Then  $(a_1r_1)^n a_2 = (a_2r_2)^n a_2 = a_2(r_2a_2)^n \in a_1R \cap a_2A = 0$  and so  $x^{n+1} =$  $(a_2 r_2)^{n+1} = 0$ . Thus  $a_1 R \cap a_2 R$  is nil and by hypothesis we must have  $a_1 R \cap a_2 R$  $a_2R = 0$ .

Recall that a left ideal  $\lambda$  of a ring R is said to be *essential* if it intersects every nonzero left ideal of  $R$  nontrivially.

REMARK 2. Suppose R has no nonzero nil right ideals, R is A-radical, and  $\lambda$ is an essential left ideal of R. Then  $\lambda \cap A$  is an essential left ideal of A.

PROOF. Let  $a \neq 0$  in A. Then  $\lambda \cap Ra \neq 0$  and there exists b in  $\lambda \cap Ra$ , b not nilpotent. Let  $n \ge 1$  such that  $b^n \in A$ . Since  $b^{n+1} \ne 0$  is in  $Rb^n \cap Ra$ , by the left analogue of Lemma 2,  $Ab^n \cap Aa \neq 0$ . In particular  $(\lambda \cap A) \cap Aa \neq 0$ . This being true for every  $a \neq 0$  in A, we conclude that  $\lambda \cap A$  is an essential left ideal of A.

If  $S \subset R$  is a subset and  $r \in R$  let  $l_s(r) = \{x \in S \mid xr = 0\}$ , the left annihilator of r in S. The *left singular ideal* of R is  $Z(R) = \{r \in R \mid l_R(r) \text{ is essential}\}\,$  seen to be an ideal of R. Let  $Z(A)$  denote the left singular ideal of the subring A of R. Since for  $r \in R$ ,  $l_A(r) = l_R(r) \cap A$ , we have the

COROLLARY. *If R has no nonzero nil right ideals and R is A-radical, then*   $Z(A) = Z(R) \cap A$ .

In [7] Rowen conjectured that if  $R$  is a prime left Goldie ring and  $R$  is A-radical, then either R is commutative or R and A are left orders in the same simple artinian rings. We conclude this section with a step in this direction. We will follow the arguments of Procesi and Small of Goldie's theorem for semiprime rings [3, chap. 4].

REMARK 3. Suppose R is a semiprime left Goldie ring and R is  $A$ -radical. If  $\lambda$  is an essential left ideal of A, then  $\lambda$  contains a regular element.

PROOF. Assume first  $R$  is a prime left Goldie ring. Since a semiprime left Goldie ring has no nonzero nil right ideals [5], by Theorem 3 A is prime. Also, as a subring of R, A inherits the ascending and descending chain conditions on left annihilators. Choose  $a \in \lambda$  so that  $l_A(a)$  is minimal. Suppose  $l_A(a) \neq 0$ . Then *Ra* is not essential in R and so clearly *Aa* is not essential in A. Let  $J \neq 0$  be a left ideal of A such that  $Aa \cap J = 0$ . Since  $\lambda$  is essential,  $\lambda \cap J \neq 0$  hence we may assume  $J \subset \lambda$ . If  $x \in J$ ,  $r \in l_A(a+x)$ ,  $ra = -rx \in Aa \cap J = 0$  thus  $r \in l_A(a) \cap l_A(x)$ . By the minimality of  $l_A(a)$  we get  $l_A(a) \subset l_A(x)$  for all  $x \in J$ ; thus  $l_A(a)J=0$ . Since  $l_A(a) \neq 0$ ,  $J \neq 0$  and A is prime, this is a contradiction. Hence  $l_A(a)=0$  and since R has no nonzero nil right ideals  $l_{R}(a) = 0$ . Thus, since R is prime Goldie, a is regular in R.

Now, let R be a semiprime left Goldie ring and let  $S_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus S_n$  be a maximal direct sum of minimal annihilator ideals. Each  $S_i$  is a prime left Goldie ring [cf. 3, lemma 4.17]  $S_i \cap A$ -radical and clearly  $\lambda \cap S_i$  is an essential left ideal of  $S_i \cap A$ . Thus, each  $\lambda \cap S_i$  contains an element  $a_i$  regular in  $S_i$ . If  $a = a_i + \cdots + a_n$ , then [cf. 3, lemma 4.18]  $\alpha$  is regular in  $\beta$ .

THEOREM 4. *If R is a semiprime left Goldie ring and R is A-radical, then A is a semiprime left Goldie ring.* 

PROOF. By  $[5]$  R has no nonzero nil right ideals, so by Lemma 1 A is semiprime. Let  $a \in A$  regular and  $b \in A$ . Then, since R has no nonzero nil right ideals, a is regular in R and consequently *Ra* is essential in R. By Lemma 2 Aa is essential in A; as is easy to see,  $\lambda = \{x \in A \mid xb \in Aa\}$  is also an essential left ideal of A. By the preceding discussion  $\lambda$  contains a regular element c. Thus  $cb = da$ , some  $d \in A$ . Hence A satisfies the left Ore conditions, so has a ring of left quotients  $Q(A)$ .

Let  $I \neq 0$  be a left ideal of  $Q(A)$ . Then by Zorn's lemma there exists a left ideal K in A such that  $(I \cap A) \bigoplus K$  is essential in A. Thus, as before,  $(I \cap A) \bigoplus K$  contains a regular element, therefore  $Q(A) = I \bigoplus Q(A)K$  and if 1 is the unit element of Q (A),  $1 = e + f$  where  $e \in I$ ,  $f \in O(A)K$ . It is easy to see that  $I = Ie = Q(A)e$ . Thus every nonzero left ideal of  $Q(A)$  is principal, generated by an idempotent. Thus  $Q(A)$  is semiprime and every left ideal of  $Q(A)$  is a left annihilator.

Now, R is a left order in a semisimple (left) artinian ring  $Q(R)$ . By universality we may assume  $Q(A) \subset Q(R)$ . Thus  $Q(A)$  satisfies the descending chain condition of left annihilators, so on all left ideals. Since  $Q(A)$  is semiprime,  $O(A)$  is semisimple (left) artinian. Therefore A is semiprime (left) Goldie.

# **3. Going up**

The *hypercenter*, T, of a ring R is defined by  $T =$  ${t \in R \mid tx^n = x^n t, n = n (xt) \ge 1, all x \in R}.$  Herstein [4] has shown that if R is a ring with no nonzero nil ideals then  $T = Z$ , the center of R. This result enables us to avoid the K6ethe conjecture in Theorem 6. Right now we use it in the following

THEOREM 5. *Suppose R is 2-torsion free and is A-radical. IrA has no nonzero*  nil right ideals, then,  $N(R)$ , the upper nil radical of R, contains every nil *one-sided ideal of R.* 

PROOF. Since  $R/N(R)$  is radical over  $A + N(R)/A \cong A/A \cap N(R) \cong A$ , we may assume  $N(R)=0$ , and, we have to show R has no nonzero nil one-sided ideals.

Suppose  $\rho \neq 0$  is a nil right ideal of R. Since R is semiprime, by Levitzki's theorem there exists  $x \in \rho$  with  $x^k = 0$ ,  $x^{k-i} \neq 0$ , and  $k \geq 6$ . For k odd,  $3(k-1)/2 \ge k$ , and  $(x^{(k-1)/2})^3 = 0$ ; for k even,  $3(k-2)/2 \ge k$ , and  $(x^{(k-2)/2})^3 = 0$ 0. Thus we may assume  $x^3 = 0$  and  $x^2 \neq 0$ . If  $r \in R$ , we can find an integer *n* such that

- (1)  $r^n \in A$
- (2)  $(1+x)r^{n}(1-x+x^{2}) = ((1+x)r(1-x+x^{2}))^{n} \in A$
- (3)  $(1-x)r^{n}(1+x+x^{2}) \in A$
- (4) *(1-x+x2)r~(l+x)EA*
- (5) *(l+x+x2)r~(1-x)EA.*

Now, from (1), (2), and (3), we get  $2(-xr''x + r''x^2) \in A \cap Rx = 0$ ; similarly (1), (4), and (5) give us  $2(-xr^nx+x^2r^n) \in A \cap xR = 0$  (for if A has no nonzero nil right ideals, then, clearly, it has no nonzero nil left ideals). By hypothesis we must have  $x^2 r^n = xr^n x = r^n x^2$ . In other words,  $x^2 \in$  hypercenter of R. Since  $N(R) = 0$ ,  $x^2$  is a central element. But the center of a semiprime ring has no nonzero nilpotent elements, so  $x^2 = 0$ , a contradiction.

We believe the above result is true with no assumptions on torsion.

THEOREM 6. *Suppose R has no nonzero nil ideals and R is A-radical. Then if A has no nonzero nilpotent elements, R has no nonzero nilpotent elements.* 

PROOF. We begin by showing  $R$  has no nonzero nil right ideals. Assume  $\rho \neq 0$  is a nil right ideal of R. Let  $a \neq 0$  in  $\rho$  with  $a^2 = 0$ . If  $r \in R$ , then, for some *n*, *r*<sup>n</sup> and  $((1+a)r(1-a))^n$  are in *A*. But  $((1+a)r(1-a))^n =$  $(1+a)r^{n}(1-a)=r^{n}+ar^{n}-r^{n}a-ar^{n}a$ , and so  $b=ar^{n}-r^{n}a-ar^{n}a\in A$ . Now,  $b^2 = (ar^n)^2 + (r^n a)^2 - ar^{2n} a$ , and by induction we get  $b^{2} =$  $(ar^n)^{2^n} + (r^n a)^{2^n} + ac_i a$  for some  $c_i \in R$ . Since  $a \in \rho$ ,  $ar^n$  and  $r^n a$  are nilpotent, so there exists  $t_0$  such that  $(ar^n)^{2^t_0} = (r^n a)^{2^t_0} = 0$ ; thus  $b^{2^t_0+1} = (ac_0 a)^2 = 0$ , and, from the hypothesis on A, we get  $b=0$ . Thus  $0=ba=ar^{\pi}a$ , so  $0=b=$  $ar^{n} - r^{n} a$ . It follows that  $a \in$  hypercenter of R. Since R has no nonzero nil ideals,  $a$  is a central element. But  $R$  is semiprime and  $a$  is nilpotent so we must have  $a = 0$ , a contradiction.

Assume now  $a \in R$  with  $a^2 = 0$ . If  $r \in R$ ,  $(ar)^n$  and  $((1 + a)(ar)(1 - a))^n$  are in A for a suitable n. But  $((1+a)(ar)(1-a))^n = (1+a)(ar)^n(1-a)$  $(ar)^n - (ar)^n a$ , so  $(ar)^n a = b \in A$ . Since  $b^2 = 0$  and A has no nonzero nilpotent elements,  $b = 0$ . Hence  $(ar)^{n+1} = 0$ . This shows that aR is nil right ideal and consequently  $a = 0$ . Therefore R has no nonzero nilpotent elements.

An immediate consequence, which is in fact equivalent to Theorem 6 is: "If  $$ is A-radical and A has no nonzero nilpotent elements, then the nilpotent elements of R form an ideal" (Proof: Consider  $N(R)$  = upper nil radical of R and  $\overline{R} = R/N(R)$ .  $\overline{R}$  is  $\overline{A}$ -radical, where  $\overline{A} = A + N(R)/N(R) \cong A/A \cap I$  $N(R) \cong A$  has no nonzero nilpotent elements. Thus  $\overline{R}$  has no nonzero nilpotent elements, which is to say that every nilpotent element of  $R$  lies in  $N(R)$ , i.e.,  $N(R) = \{ \text{nilpotent elements of } R \}.$ 

COROLLARY (Rowen). *Suppose R has no nonzero nil ideals, R is A-radical, and A is a domain. Then R is a domain.* 

Proof. By an earlier observation, under these hypothesis  $R$  is a prime. Moreover, by Theorem 6, R has no nonzero nilpotent elements. It follows that R is a domain.

Goldie [2] characterized left Goldie rings as those having left singular ideal zero and not containing infinite direct sums of left ideals. This characterization and the Corollary to Lemma 2 give us our last result.

**THEOREM 7.** *If R has no nonzero nil right ideals, R is A -radical, and A is left Goldie, then R is left Goldie.* 

*Added in proof.* Herstein has pointed out to us the following:

REMARK. Suppose R has no nonzero nil ideals and  $2R = 0$ . If R is A-radical and  $A$  has no nonzero nil right ideals then  $R$  has no nonzero nil right ideals.

In fact, suppose  $\rho \neq 0$  nil right ideal of R. As in Theorem 5 we can find  $x \in \rho$ with  $x^3=0$  and  $x^2\neq 0$ . If  $a\in A$  let  $n\geq 1$  such that  $(1+x)a^n(1+x+x^2)$ ,  $(1+x+x^2)a''(1+x)$  and  $(1+x^2)a''(1+x^2)$  are in A. Then  $a_1 =$  $xa''x^2 + x^2a''x + x^2a''x^2 \in A \cap \rho = 0$ ; hence  $0 = xa_1 = x^2a''x^2$  and, since  $(1 + x^2)a'' (1 + x^2) \in A$ ,  $x^2 a'' + a''x^2 \in A$ .

If  $b \in A$  let  $c = (x^2a^n + a^nx^2)b$ . Then  $x^2c = 0$ . But proceeding as above we have  $x^2c^m + c^m x^2 \in A$  for some  $m \ge 1$ . Hence  $c^m x^2 \in A \cap Rx = 0$ . Now,  $c^{m+1} =$  $c^{m}(x^{2}a^{n} + a^{n}x^{2})b = c^{m}a^{n}x^{2}b \in Rx^{2}b$  so  $c^{m+1}$ , and consequently c, is nilpotent. Thus  $(x^2a'' + a''x^2)A$  is a nil right ideal of A. By hypothesis we must have  $x^2a'' + a''x^2 = 0$ . It follows that  $x^2 \in$  hypercenter of R and since R has no nonzero nil ideals  $x^2 \in$  center of R. But R is semiprime and  $x^2$  is nilpotent so  $x^2 = 0$ , a contradiction.

We can now sharply improve Theorem 5 by removing the assumption of no 2-torsion.

THEOREM 5'. *If R is A-radical and A has no nonzero nil right ideals then N(R ), the upper nil radical of R, contains every nil one-sided ideal of R.* 

PROOF. By going to  $R/N(R)$  we may assume  $N(R) = 0$ , and we have to show R has no nonzero nil one-sided ideals. Consider the ideal  $U = \{x \in R \mid 2^k x = 0\}$ for some  $k \ge 1$ . We have that  $R/U$  is  $A/A \cap U$ -radical, and as it is easy to see  $R/U$  is 2-torsion free with no nonzero nil ideals, and  $A/A \cap U$  has no nonzero nil right ideals. By Theorem 5 it follows that  $R/U$  has no nonzero nil right ideals. Clearly the result now will follow if we show  $U$  has no nonzero nil right ideals. But U is  $A \cap U$ -radical,  $2U = 0$  (for 2U is a nil ideal of R), and U has no nonzero nil ideals; moreover since  $A \cap U$  is an ideal of A,  $A \cap U$  has no nonzero nil right ideals. Therefore, by the preceding remark U has no nonzero nil right ideals.

Note that Theorem 6 follows now immediately. Note also that we have

THEOREM 7'. *If R has no nonzero nil ideals, R is A-radical, and A is semiprime left Goldie, then R is left Goldie.* 

#### **REFERENCES**

1. C. Faith, *Radical extensions of rings,* Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 12 (1961), 274-283.

2. A. W. Goldie, *Semiprime rings with maximum condition,* Proc. London Math. Soc. 10 (3) (1960), 201-220.

3. I. N. Herstein, *Topics in Ring Theory,* University of Chicago Press, 1969.

4. I. N. Herstein, *On the hypercenter of a ring,* J. Algebra, 36 (1975), 151-157.

5. C. Lanski, *Nil subrings of Goldie rings are nilpotent,* Canad. J. Math. 21 (1969), 904-907.

6. J. A. Loustau, *Radical extensions of Jordan rings*, J. Algebra 30 (1974), 1-11.

7. L. H. Rowen, *Monomial conditions on rings II* (to appear).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO CHICAGO, ILL. 60637, U.S.A.